

Rockafellar and Wets: Variational Analysis 4.G

安藤和敏

2000.2.4

命題 (4.30 (a) [RW]): If $K^\nu \rightarrow K$ for cones $K^\nu, K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that K is pointed, then $\text{con } K^\nu \rightarrow \text{con } K$.

(証明) 任意の cone C に対して

$$\text{con } C = C + \cdots + C \text{ (n 項)}$$

である (3.15) ので, 4.29(d) を適用することを考えよう.

- cone の場合は完全収束と普通の収束は同値 (4.25) だから, $K^\nu \xrightarrow{\text{t}} K$.
- K は閉なので, 3.15 より $\text{con } K$ は閉.
- K は closed cone なので, $K \times \cdots \times K$ も closed cone. よって,

$$K^\infty \times \cdots \times K^\infty = K \times \cdots \times K = (K \times \cdots \times K)^\infty.$$

- $x_1 + \cdots + x_n = \mathbf{0}$, $x_i \in K^\infty = K$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$)
- $$\implies x_1 = \cdots = x_n = \mathbf{0} \quad (\text{by the pointedness of } K).$$

ゆえに,

$$\text{con } K^\nu = \overbrace{K^\nu + \cdots + K^\nu}^{n \text{ 個}} \xrightarrow{\text{t}} \overbrace{K + \cdots + K}^{n \text{ 個}} = \text{con } K. \square$$

The following is a slight generalization of Proposition 3.14.

補題: A cone $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is pointed if and only if $\text{con } K$ is pointed, i.e., the subspace $(\text{con } K) \cap (-\text{con } K)$ contains a nonzero vector.

(証明) First, note that $(\text{con } K) \cap (-\text{con } K)$ is indeed a subspace. Suppose that $\mathbf{0} \neq x \in (\text{con } K) \cap (-\text{con } K)$. Then, since $x \in \text{con } K$,

$$x = \lambda_1 y_1 + \cdots + \lambda_k y_k$$

for some $\lambda_i > 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$) and some $\mathbf{0} \neq x_i \in K$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$). Also, since $-x \in \text{con } K$ we have

$$-x = \lambda_{k+1} y_{k+1} + \cdots + \lambda_l y_l$$

for some $\lambda_i > 0$ ($i = k+1, \dots, l$) and some $\mathbf{0} \neq x_i \in K$ ($i = k+1, \dots, l$). Since K is a cone, we have $x_i := \lambda_i y_i \in K$ ($i = 1, \dots, l$) and have a expression

$$\mathbf{0} = x_1 + \cdots + x_l, \tag{1}$$

where $x_i \neq \mathbf{0}$ for each $i = 1, \dots, l$. Hence, K is not pointed.

Conversely, suppose that K is not pointed. Then, we have (1) for $x_i \neq \mathbf{0}$ ($i = 1, \dots, l$). Then, we must have $l \geq 1$ and the expression

$$-x_1 = x_2 + \cdots + x_l.$$

the left-hand side is an element of $-K \subseteq -\text{con } K$, whereas the right-hand side is that of $\text{con } K$. It follows that $\mathbf{0} \neq -x_1 \in (\text{con } K) \cap (-\text{con } K)$. \square

補題: For a cone $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ K is pointed if there exists a nonzero vector a such that

$$\{x \mid ax \leq 0\} \cap K = \{\mathbf{0}\}. \quad (2)$$

(証明) Suppose we have

$$x_1 + \cdots + x_k = \mathbf{0}, x_i \in K \ (i = 1, \dots, k).$$

すべての $i = 1, \dots, k$ に対して $ax_i \geq 0$ である。なぜなら、もし、 $ax_i < 0$ なる i があれば、(2) によって $x_i = \mathbf{0}$ であるので、 $ax_i = 0$ これは矛盾。

一方で、

$$ax_1 + \cdots + ax_k = 0$$

なので、 $ax_i = 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$)。再び、(2) によって $x_i = \mathbf{0}$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$)。即ち、 K は pointed. \square

Note that the converse direction of the above lemma does not hold: Consider the “pointed” cone $\{(0, \xi_2) \mid \xi_2 \leq 0\} \cup \{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \mid \xi_1 > 0\}$.

命題: For an arbitrary subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\text{con cl } S \subseteq \text{cl con } S \text{ and } \text{cl con cl } S = \text{cl con } S$$

(証明) If $x \in \text{con cl } S$, then

$$x = \lambda_1 x_1 + \cdots + \lambda_k x_k$$

for some $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \geq 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = 1$ and $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \text{cl } S$. Then, for each $i = 1, \dots, k$ there exists sequence $(x_i^\nu \mid \nu = 1, 2, \dots)$ in S converging to x_i . Let $x^\nu = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i x_i^\nu$. Then $x^\nu \in \text{con } S$ and $x^\nu \rightarrow x$. Hence, $x \in \text{cl con } S$.

Since $\text{con cl } C \subseteq \text{cl con } C$, we have $\text{cl con cl } C \subseteq \text{cl con } C$. The converse inclusion is trivial. \square

Define $K^* \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$K^* = \{y \mid \forall x \in K: \langle y, x \rangle \leq 0\},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes canonical inner product.

命題: (i) $K^* = (\text{con } K)^*$.

(ii) For a closed cone K , if K is pointed then $K^{**} = \text{con } K$.

(証明) Since $K \subseteq \text{con } K$, $K^* \supseteq (\text{con } K)^*$ is obvious. Suppose $x \in K^*$ and let $y \in \text{con } K$ be arbitrary. Since there exists $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \geq 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = 1$ and $z_i \in K$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$) such that $y = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i z_i$, we have

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x, \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i z_i \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \langle x, z_i \rangle \leq 0,$$

and hence, $x \in (\text{con } K)^*$. This shows $K^* \subseteq (\text{con } K)^*$.

If a closed cone K is pointed, then $\text{con } K$ is again closed (3.15) (and pointed). Hence, $K^{**} = (\text{con } K)^{**}$. \square

補題 (Theorem 2.7.7 [SW]): For a closed convex cone $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ we have $K^{**} = K$.

(証明) It suffices to show $K^{**} \subseteq K$. Suppose there exists $x \in K^{**} \setminus K$. Then, by Theorem 2.39 (Strong Separation) there exists a halfspace $H^- = \{x \mid \langle a, x \rangle \leq \beta\}$ such that

$$K \subseteq H^- \text{ but } \langle a, x \rangle > \beta. \quad (3)$$

Since $\mathbf{0} \in K$, we must have $0 \leq \beta$.

We will show that we can take $\beta = 0$. Suppose that there exists $y \in K$ such that $\langle a, y \rangle > 0$. Then, for sufficiently large $\lambda > 0$, we must have $\langle z, \lambda y \rangle > \beta$. However, since $\lambda y \in K$, this contradicts $K \subseteq H^-$.

We have $a \in K^*$ and, since $x \in K^{**}$, we must have $\langle a, x \rangle \leq 0$. This is a contradiction. \square

Note that the above lemma, when specialized to polyhedral (convex) cones, yields Farkas' lemma.

命題: For a closed convex cone $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, K is pointed if and only if K^* is full-dimensional.

(証明) (“only if” part:) Suppose that K^* is not full-dimensional then there exists a homogeneous hyperplane $H = \{x \mid \langle a, x \rangle = 0\}$ such that $K^* \subseteq H$. Then, $K^{**} = K$ contains one dimensional subspace $H^* = \{\lambda a \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$. So does $K \cap (-K)$.

(“if” part:) Conversely, if K is not pointed then $K \cap (-K) \subseteq K$ contains one-dimensional subspace H^* . Then $K^* \subseteq H^{**} = H$, and hence, K^* is not full-dimensional. \square

補題: For a closed cone $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ K is pointed if and only if there exists a nonzero vector a such that

$$\{x \mid ax \leq 0\} \cap K = \{\mathbf{0}\}. \quad (4)$$

(証明) It suffices to show the “only if” part. Suppose that K is pointed. Then, $\text{con } K$ is pointed, and hence, $(\text{con } K)^* = K^*$ is full-dimensional.

Let c_1, \dots, c_n be linearly independent vectors in K^* and let

$$a = c_1 + \dots + c_n.$$

Define a polyhedral cone $C \subseteq K^*$ by

$$C = \{\lambda_1 c_1 + \dots + \lambda_n c_n \mid \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \geq 0\}.$$

Then, $C^* \supseteq K^{**} \supseteq K$ and $C^* = \{x \mid c_i x \leq 0 \ (i = 1, \dots, n)\}$ is pointed. We have $\langle a, x \rangle \leq 0$ for $\forall x \in K$.

Now, suppose $x \in \{x \mid \langle a, x \rangle \geq 0\} \cap K$. Then we have $\langle a, x \rangle = 0$, and hence, $c_i x = 0 \ (i = 1, \dots, n)$. But since c_1, \dots, c_n are linearly independent, we must have $x = \mathbf{0}$. \square

補題: If $K^\nu \rightarrow K$ for cones $K^\nu, K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that K is pointed, then all but finite K^ν are pointed.

(証明) Since K is pointed, there exists $a \neq \mathbf{0}$ such that

$$K \cap \{x \mid ax \geq 0\} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$$

by the lemma above. We will show that for all but finite ν we have

$$K^\nu \cap \{x \mid ax \geq 0\} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$$

from which the present lemma follows. Suppose on the contrary that there exists $N \in \mathcal{N}_\infty^\sharp$ such that

$$K^\nu \cap \{x \mid ax \geq 0\} \neq \{\mathbf{0}\} \quad (\nu \in N).$$

Then, there exists a sequence $\{x^\nu\}_{\nu \in N}$ such that $x^\nu \in K^\nu$ ($\nu \in N$). Since K^ν is a cone for each ν , after appropriate scalings if necessary, we can assume $\|x^\nu\| = 1$ ($\nu \in N$) so that there exists a cluster point \bar{x} with $a\bar{x} \geq 0$. Furthermore, we have $\bar{x} \in \limsup_\nu K^\nu = K$, which is impossible. \square

命題 (4.30 (c) [RW]): If $C^\nu \rightarrow C$ for sets $C^\nu, C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with $C \neq \emptyset$ and C^∞ being pointed, then $\text{con } C^\nu \xrightarrow{\text{t}} \text{cl con } C$.

(証明) 任意の $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ に対して $\tilde{D} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ を

$$\tilde{D} := \left\{ \lambda \begin{bmatrix} x \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \mid x \in \text{cl } D, \lambda > 0 \right\} \cup \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid x \in D^\infty \right\}$$

と定義する。これは、射線空間モデルによる $\text{csm } D$ ($= \text{cl } D \cup \text{dir } D^\infty$) の表現である。

主張: \tilde{D} は pointed であるための必要十分条件は, D^∞ が pointed であることである。

(証明) D^∞ が pointed であるとして, $x_1 + \dots + x_l = \mathbf{0}$ かつ $x_i \in \tilde{D}$ ($i = 1, \dots, l$) とする。すると, すべての i について $x_i \in \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid x \in D^\infty \right\}$ でなければならないので, $x_i = \begin{bmatrix} y_i \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ for some $y_i \in D^\infty$. D^∞ は pointed であるから, $y_1 = \dots = y_l = \mathbf{0}$. したがって, $x_1 = \dots = x_l = \mathbf{0}$.

逆に \tilde{D} が pointed であるとして, $y_1 + \dots + y_l = \mathbf{0}$ かつ $y_i \in D^\infty$ ($i = 1, \dots, l$) とする。 $x_i = \begin{bmatrix} y_i \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ($i = 1, \dots, l$) とおくと, $x_1 + \dots + x_l = \mathbf{0}$ かつ $x_i \in \tilde{D}$ ($i = 1, \dots, l$) であるが, \tilde{D} の pointedness から $x_1 = \dots = x_l = \mathbf{0}$. ゆえに, $y_1 = \dots = y_l = \mathbf{0}$. $\square \square$

$$C^\nu \xrightarrow{\text{t}} C$$

より

$$\text{csm } C^\nu \xrightarrow{\text{c}} \text{csm } C \quad (\text{i.e., } \text{cl } C^\nu \cup \text{dir } (C^\nu)^\infty \xrightarrow{\text{c}} C \cup \text{dir } C^\infty).$$

これは次と同値.

$$\widetilde{C}^\nu \longrightarrow \check{C}.$$

ここで, 有限個を除く全ての ν に対して, \widetilde{C}^ν は pointed したがってそれらの ν に対して, $(C^\nu)^\infty$ は pointed. \check{C} は pointed なので 4.30(a) が使えて,

$$\text{con } \widetilde{C}^\nu \longrightarrow \text{con } \check{C}.$$

これは cosmic space で

$$(\text{con cl } C^\nu + \text{con } ((\text{cl } (C^\nu))^\infty)) \cup \text{dir con } ((\text{cl } (C^\nu))^\infty) \xrightarrow{\text{c}} (\text{con } C + \text{con } (C^\infty)) \cup \text{dir con } (C^\infty)$$

を意味する。p.81, 1.4 より

$$C^\infty = (\text{cl } C)^\infty.$$

なので,

$$(\text{con cl } C^\nu + \text{con } ((C^\nu)^\infty)) \cup \text{dir con } ((C^\nu)^\infty) \xrightarrow{\text{c}} (\text{con } C + \text{con } (C^\infty)) \cup \text{dir con } (C^\infty).$$

$\emptyset \neq C = \liminf_\nu C^\nu$ であるから, 有限個を除くすべての ν に対して $C^\nu \neq \emptyset$ でもある。また C^∞ も $(C^\nu)^\infty$ も pointed であるから 3.46 によって

$$\text{con } C + \text{con } (C^\infty) = \text{cl con } C$$

かつ

$$\text{con cl } [C^\nu] + \text{con } ([C^\nu]^\infty) = \text{cl con } (\text{cl } [C^\nu]) = \text{cl con } [C^\nu].$$

また, 3.46 によって,

$$\text{con } ([C^\nu]^\infty) = (\text{cl con } [C^\nu])^\infty = (\text{con } [C^\nu])^\infty$$

かつ

$$\text{con } (C^\infty) = (\text{cl con } C)^\infty = (\text{con } C)^\infty.$$

ゆえに

$$(\text{cl con } C^\nu) \cup \text{dir } (\text{con } (C^\nu))^\infty \xrightarrow{\text{c}} (\text{cl con } C) \cup \text{dir } (\text{con } C)^\infty.$$

これは, $\text{con } C^\nu \xrightarrow{\text{t}} \text{con } C$ を意味する。□

命題 (4.30(b)): If $C^\nu \longrightarrow C$ for sets C^ν contained in some bounded region of \mathbb{R}^n , then $\text{con } C^\nu \longrightarrow \text{con } C$.

(証明) これは, 4.30(c) で $C^\infty = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ の場合. ($\text{con } C$ は閉であることに注意.) □

練習 (4.31): For $C_i^\nu \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$)

$$C_i^\nu \longrightarrow C_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, m) \implies \bigcup_{i=1}^m C_i^\nu \longrightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^m C_i$$

$$C_i^\nu \xrightarrow{\text{t}} C_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, m) \implies \bigcup_{i=1}^m C_i^\nu \xrightarrow{\text{t}} \bigcup_{i=1}^m C_i$$

(証明) $\limsup \bigcup_{i=1}^m C_i^\nu \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m C_i \subseteq \liminf \bigcup_{i=1}^m C_i^\nu$ を言う。 $x \in \limsup \bigcup_{i=1}^m C_i^\nu$ とする。

$$\exists N \in \mathcal{N}_\infty^\sharp, \exists x^\nu \in \bigcup_{i=1}^m C_i^\nu \ (\nu \in N): x^\nu \xrightarrow[N]{} x.$$

ある $i = 1, \dots, m$ に対して

$$\exists N \in \mathcal{N}_\infty^\sharp, \exists x^\nu \in C_i^\nu \ (\nu \in N): x^\nu \xrightarrow[N]{} x.$$

即ち,

$$x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^m \limsup_\nu C_i^\nu = \bigcup_{i=1}^m C_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \liminf_\nu C_i^\nu.$$

$x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^m \liminf_\nu C_i^\nu$ なので,

$$\exists i \in m, \exists N \in \mathcal{N}_\infty, \exists x^\nu \in C_i^\nu \ (\nu \in N): x^\nu \xrightarrow[N]{} x.$$

$$\exists N \in \mathcal{N}_\infty, \exists x^\nu \in \bigcup_{i=1}^m C_i^\nu \ (\nu \in N): x^\nu \xrightarrow[N]{} x.$$

$$x \in \liminf_\nu \bigcup_{i=1}^m C_i^\nu.$$

3.9 によって,

$$\limsup^\infty \bigcup_{i=1}^m C_i^\nu \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m \limsup^\infty C_i^\nu \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m C_i^\infty \subseteq (\bigcup_{i=1}^m C_i)^\infty. \square$$

命題 (4(8)): For a continuous mapping $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and $D^\nu \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ we have

$$\liminf_\nu F^{-1}(D^\nu) \subseteq F^{-1}(\liminf_\nu D^\nu),$$

$$\limsup_\nu F^{-1}(D^\nu) \subseteq F^{-1}(\limsup_\nu D^\nu),$$

(証明) Suppose $x \in \liminf_\nu F^{-1}(D^\nu)$. Then,

$$\exists N \in \mathcal{N}_\infty, \exists x^\nu \in F^{-1}(D^\nu) \ (\nu \in N): x^\nu \xrightarrow[N]{} x.$$

Then, since F is continuous, we have

$$F(x^\nu) \in D^\nu \ (\nu \in N) \text{ and } F(x^\nu) \xrightarrow[N]{} F(x).$$

Hence, $F(x) \in \liminf_\nu D^\nu$, i.e., $x \in F^{-1}(\liminf_\nu D^\nu)$. Similarly for \limsup . \square

命題 (4(9)): If $\limsup_\nu X^\nu \subseteq X$, $\limsup_\nu D^\nu \subseteq D$ and if for each sequence (x^ν) converging to x we have $F^\nu(x^\nu) \rightarrow F(x)$, then we have

$$\limsup_\nu (X^\nu \cap F^{\nu-1}(D^\nu)) \subseteq X \cap F^{-1}(D)$$

(証明) Suppose that $x \in \limsup_\nu (X^\nu \cap F^{\nu-1}(D^\nu))$. Then,

$$\exists N \in \mathcal{N}_\infty^\sharp, \exists x^\nu \in X^\nu \cap F^{\nu-1}(D^\nu) \ (\nu \in N): x^\nu \xrightarrow[N]{} x.$$

Then, $x \in \limsup_\nu X^\nu \subseteq X$. Also, since $F^\nu(x^\nu) \in D^\nu$ ($\nu \in N$) and $F^\nu(x^\nu) \xrightarrow[N]{} F(x)$, we thus have $F(x) \in \limsup_\nu D^\nu \subseteq D$. Hence, $x \in F^{-1}(D)$. \square

命題: For a linear mappings $L^\nu, L: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, point-wise convergence $L^\nu \rightarrow L$ is equivalent to $L^\nu(x^\nu) \rightarrow L(x)$ whenever $x^\nu \rightarrow x$.

(証明) Suppose that L^ν and L are represented by matrices $A^\nu, A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. Then, the convergence $L^\nu \rightarrow L$ is equivalent to the convergence of the associated matrices: $A^\nu \rightarrow A$. [(Proof) Suppose $L^\nu \rightarrow L$, i.e., for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have $A^\nu x \rightarrow Ax$. Consider the unit vectors e_j ($j = 1, \dots, n$): $A^\nu e_j \rightarrow Ae_j$. This means each column of A^ν converges to the corresponding column. Therefore, $A^\nu \rightarrow A$. Conversely, suppose $A^\nu \rightarrow A$. Then for each $x = \xi_1 e_1 + \dots + \xi_n e_n$ we have

$$A^\nu x = \xi_1 A^\nu e_1 + \dots + \xi_n A^\nu e_n \rightarrow \xi_1 Ae_1 + \dots + \xi_n Ae_n = Ax.]$$

Suppose $L^\nu \rightarrow L$ and let us consider a sequence converging to x .

$$\|A^\nu x^\nu - Ax\| \leq \|A^\nu x^\nu - Ax^\nu\| + \|A(x^\nu - x)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (\nu \rightarrow \infty).$$

Conversely, suppose that for each $x^\nu \rightarrow x$ we have $A^\nu x^\nu \rightarrow Ax$. Then, just considering constant sequences (e_j) ($j = 1, \dots, n$) we have $A^\nu \rightarrow A$. \square

定理 (4.32): Let

$$C^\nu = X^\nu \cap (L^\nu)^{-1}(D^\nu), \quad C = X \cap L^{-1}(D)$$

for linear mapping $L^\nu, L: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, convex $X^\nu, X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and convex $D^\nu, D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $L(X)$ cannot be separated from D . If $L^\nu \rightarrow L$, $\liminf_\nu X^\nu \supseteq X$ and $\liminf_\nu D^\nu \supseteq D$, then $\liminf_\nu C^\nu \supseteq C$. Indeed,

$$L^\nu \rightarrow L, X^\nu \rightarrow X, \text{ and } D^\nu \rightarrow D \implies C^\nu \rightarrow C.$$

(証明) 定理の前半が示されれば後半は自明である。

Suppose that $L^\nu \rightarrow L$, $\liminf_\nu X^\nu \supseteq X$ and $\liminf_\nu D^\nu \supseteq D$. Let

$$\bar{x} \in C = X \cap L^{-1}(D).$$

僕らは、 $x^\nu \in C^\nu$ で $x^\nu \rightarrow x$ となるものを作らなければいけない。 $\bar{x} \in X$ で、 $\bar{u} := L(\bar{x}) \in D$ であるので、点列 $\exists \bar{x}^\nu \in X^\nu$ で $\bar{x}^\nu \rightarrow \bar{x}$ となる。また $\exists \bar{u}^\nu \in D^\nu$ で $\bar{u}^\nu \rightarrow \bar{u}$ となる。 $\bar{z}^\nu := L(\bar{x}^\nu) - \bar{u}^\nu$ とすると、 $\bar{z}^\nu \rightarrow 0$.

非分離の仮定は 2.39 より、 $0 \in \text{int}(L(X) - D)$ と同値。したがって、 0 の単体近傍 $S \subseteq \text{int}(L(X) - D)$ が存在する。

$$S = \text{con}(\{z_0, z_1, \dots, z_m\}), \quad z_i = L(x_i) - u_i, \quad x_i \in X, \quad u_i \in D.$$

$X = \liminf_\nu X^\nu$ かつ $D = \liminf_\nu D^\nu$ であるから、

$$\exists x_i^\nu \in X^\nu: x_i^\nu \rightarrow x_i \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, m) \quad \text{かつ} \quad \exists u_i^\nu \in D^\nu: u_i^\nu \rightarrow u_i \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, m).$$

$z_i^\nu = L(x_i^\nu) - u_i^\nu$ と定義すると、 $z_i^\nu \rightarrow L(x_i) - u_i = z_i$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, m$) であるから、2.28(f) によって、十分大なる ν に対して、 $S^\nu := \text{con}(\{z_0, z_1, \dots, z_m\})$ は単体。また有限個を除くすべての ν に対して 4.15 によって $0 \in \text{int } S^\nu$ 。したがって、有限個を除くすべての ν に対して $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 1$ なる $\lambda_0^\nu, \lambda_1^\nu, \dots, \lambda_m^\nu > 0$ が(一意に) 存在して

$$0 = \lambda_0^\nu z_0^\nu + \lambda_1^\nu z_1^\nu + \dots + \lambda_m^\nu z_m^\nu.$$

2.28(f) によって $(0 \rightarrow 0), \lambda_i^\nu \rightarrow \lambda_i$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, m$). ここで、

$$0 = \lambda_0 z_0 + \lambda_1 z_1 + \dots + \lambda_m z_m$$

for $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m > 0$.

それと同時に、 $\bar{z}^\nu \rightarrow 0$ であった。原点をその内点に持つ有界な領域 B を考えたときに有限個を除いたすべての ν に対して、

$$\bar{z}^\nu \in B \subseteq S^\nu$$

となる (4.15) ので、これらの ν に対して、

$$\bar{z}^\nu = \bar{\lambda}_0^\nu z_0^\nu + \bar{\lambda}_1^\nu z_1^\nu + \dots + \bar{\lambda}_m^\nu z_m^\nu, \quad \text{for } \bar{\lambda}_0^\nu, \bar{\lambda}_1^\nu, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_m^\nu > 0 \text{ with } \sum_{i=0}^m \bar{\lambda}_i^\nu = 1.$$

ここで、2.28(f) より $\bar{\lambda}_i^\nu \rightarrow \lambda_i$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, m$).

$$\theta^\nu := \min\{1, \lambda_0^\nu / \bar{\lambda}_0^\nu, \lambda_1^\nu / \bar{\lambda}_1^\nu, \dots, \lambda_m^\nu / \bar{\lambda}_m^\nu\}$$

とすると, $0 < \theta^\nu \leq 1$ かつ $\theta^\nu \rightarrow 1$. よって, $\mu_i^\nu := \lambda_i^\nu - \theta^\nu \bar{\lambda}_i^\nu$ に対して, $0 \leq \mu_i^\nu \rightarrow 0$. また, $\sum_{i=0}^m \mu_i^\nu + \theta^\nu = 1$. ゆえに, X^ν と D^ν の凸性によって

$$X^\nu \ni \sum_{i=0}^m \mu_i^\nu x_i^\nu + \theta^\nu \bar{x}^\nu =: x^\nu \rightarrow \bar{x} \quad \text{かつ} \quad D^\nu \ni \sum_{i=0}^m \mu_i^\nu u_i^\nu + \theta^\nu \bar{u}^\nu =: u^\nu \rightarrow \bar{u}.$$

ところで,

$$L^\nu(x^\nu) - u^\nu = \sum_{i=0}^m \mu_i^\nu L^\nu(x_i^\nu) + \theta^\nu L^\nu(\bar{x}^\nu) - \sum_{i=0}^m \mu_i^\nu u_i^\nu - \theta^\nu \bar{u}^\nu \quad (5)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^m \mu_i^\nu (L^\nu(x_i^\nu) - u_i^\nu) + \theta^\nu (L^\nu(\bar{x}^\nu) - \bar{u}^\nu) \quad (6)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^m \mu_i^\nu z_i^\nu + \theta^\nu \bar{z}^\nu \quad (7)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^m (\lambda_i^\nu - \theta^\nu \bar{\lambda}_i^\nu) z_i^\nu + \theta^\nu \left(\sum_{i=0}^m \bar{\lambda}_i^\nu z_i^\nu \right) \quad (8)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^m \lambda_i^\nu z_i^\nu \quad (9)$$

$$= \mathbf{0} \quad (10)$$

だから, $x^\nu \in C^\nu$. \square

系 (4.32(a)): For linear mapping $L^\nu, L: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and convex sets $D^\nu \rightarrow D$, if D and $\text{Im } L$ cannot be separated, then $(L^\nu)^{-1}(D^\nu) \rightarrow L^{-1}(D)$.

(証明) Take $X^\nu := \mathbb{R}^n$. \square

系 (4.32(b)): For matrices $A^\nu \rightarrow A$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and vectors $b^\nu \rightarrow b$ in \mathbb{R}^m , if A has full rank m , then $\{x \mid A^\nu x = b^\nu\} \rightarrow \{x \mid Ax = b\}$.

(証明) Set $D^\nu = \{b^\nu\}$, $D = \{b\}$ in (a). A のランク = m ならどんなベクトルも $\text{Im}(A)$ から分離されないけど、この条件は強すぎる? \square

系 (4.32(c)): For convex sets $C_1^\nu, C_2^\nu \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, the inclusion $\liminf_\nu (C_1^\nu \cap C_2^\nu) \supseteq (\liminf_\nu C_1^\nu) \cap (\liminf_\nu C_2^\nu)$ holds if the convex sets (4.15) $\liminf_\nu C_1^\nu$ and $\liminf_\nu C_2^\nu$ cannot be separated. Indeed,

$$C_1^\nu \rightarrow C_1, C_2^\nu \rightarrow C_2 \implies C_1^\nu \cap C_2^\nu \rightarrow C_1 \cap C_2$$

as long as C_1 and C_2 cannot be separated.

(証明) $X^\nu := C_1^\nu$, $D^\nu := C_2^\nu$, $L := \mathbf{I}$ とする.

(前半:) 定理 4.32 の前半において, $X := \liminf_\nu X^\nu$, $D := \liminf_\nu D^\nu$ とおけば、

$$C^\nu = C_1^\nu \cap C_2^\nu, C = (\liminf_\nu X^\nu) \cap (\liminf_\nu D^\nu)$$

なので、主張が成り立つ。

(後半:) そのまんま. \square

練習 (4.33): For sequences of convex sets $C_i^\nu \rightarrow C_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, q$) in \mathbb{R}^n one has

$$C_1^\nu \cap \dots \cap C_q^\nu \rightarrow C_1 \cap \dots \cap C_q$$

if none of the limit sets C_i cannot be separated from the intersection $\bigcap_{k=1, k \neq i}^q C_k$ of the others.

(証明) q に関する帰納法による. $q = 2$ のときは、4.32(c) である.

$C_i^\nu \rightarrow C_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, q$) として、各 $i = 1, \dots, q$ に対して、 C_i は $\bigcap_{k=1, k \neq i}^q C_k$ から分離されないとする。すると、各 $i = 1, \dots, q-1$ に対して、 C_i は $\bigcap_{k=1, k \neq i}^{q-1} C_k$ から分離されない。ゆえに、帰納法の仮定によって、

$$C_1^\nu \cap \dots \cap C_{q-1}^\nu \rightarrow C_1 \cap \dots \cap C_{q-1}.$$

また、 C_q は $\bigcap_{k=1}^{q-1} C_k$ から分離されないから、

$$(C_1^\nu \cap \dots \cap C_{q-1}^\nu) \cap C_q^\nu \rightarrow (C_1 \cap \dots \cap C_{q-1}) \cap C_q.$$

これは言いたいことであった. \square